Cascase03:04-0000000202/RWRWDobouromentro6873 Ffilecol002/088/20007PagReagleof13of 3 ANN MILLER RAVEL, County Counsel (S.B. #62139) 1 ARYN PAIGE HARRIS, Deputy County Counsel (S.B. #208590) OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL 2 70 West Hedding, East Wing, 9th Floor San Jose, California 95110-1770 3 Telephone: (408) 299-5900 Facsimile: (408) 292-7240 4 Attorneys for Defendants 5 OFFICERS WHEELER AND LIGOURI 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 (SAN FRANCISCO) 10 11 TIMPHONY WALKER, No. C04-00022 VRW (PR) 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEPOSITION DATES 13 V. 14 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On August 15, 2006, this Court issued an order establishing a December 29, 2006 cutoff 18 for deposing parties. The parties stipulated and the Court extended this deadline to February 23, 19 2007, because of the large volume of medical records involved in this case requiring review 20 before Defendants could depose Plaintiff Timphony Walker. 21 Defendants are requesting a second extension to take Plaintiff's deposition because of an 22 inability and need to schedule Plaintiff's deposition concurrently with his treating psychiatrist. 23 (Affidavit of Aryn Paige Harris ¶ 4.) Defendants have been in contact with Plaintiff's 24 psychiatrist about scheduling an available time before the February 23, 2006 deadline, but has 25 learned that the dates he is available conflict with Plaintiff's counsel's schedule. (Id.) Plaintiff's counsel informed Defendants in advance about her unavailability. (Id.) 26 27 Good cause is present for the following reasons. Plaintiff has a significant mental 28 history, the specifics of which are subject to a protective order. (Harris Affidavit ¶ 5.)

Cascer3e03:04-00002001/2RVIRWDobouronemetro873 Filleedt002/0083/20007PagFeagleo23of 3

Nevertheless, Plaintiff is currently being housed in Patton State Hospital because the criminal court has deemed that his medical condition renders him unfit to stand trial at this time. (*Id.*) Defendants are prepared to take Plaintiff's deposition and has reviewed more than 3,000 records in an effort to do so.

Nevertheless, Defendants want to take Plaintiff's current treating psychiatrist's deposition at the same time as Plaintiff's deposition for two reasons. First, Defendants are not knowledgeable about how Plaintiff's current medical condition (i.e. medication, diagnosis, etc.) might impact Plaintiff's ability to testify at this deposition. (Harris Affidavit ¶ 5.) Defendants have been informed that his current state makes it necessary to do the deposition in half days over a two-day period, which is acceptable to Defendants. (*Id.*) Defendants would like to take Plaintiff's psychiatrist's deposition on the same day as Plaintiff's deposition to be informed about how, if at all, Plaintiff is impaired by medication or his ability to recall given his current medical diagnosis. (*Id.*) Otherwise, Defendants could be prejudiced at a later date if Plaintiff were able to disavow his testimony because of his medical condition.

Second, the parties have to travel to Patton California to take Plaintiff's deposition and would like to avoid unnecessary expenses associated with traveling there twice to take Plaintiff and his psychiatrist's deposition. (Harris Affidavit ¶ 5.) Further, the logistics of arranging for a deposition (court reporter, clearance, etc.) at a mental institution create additional obstacles. (*Id.*)

The parties have been diligently pursuing discovery in this case. Plaintiff has deposed three witnesses and is scheduled to take Defendant Barnette's and Defendant Wheeler's depositions on February 8th and 9th. (Harris Affidavit ¶ 5.) Plaintiffs have served and Defendants have responded to three sets of additional discovery in this case. (*Id.*) Moreover, Defendants have issues subpoenas and discovery requests and reviewed approximately 4,000 pages of medical records. Defendants have also taken the deposition of Plaintiff's witness Michael Costa. (*Id.*) The trial is currently scheduled for October 9, 2007.

The parties accordingly stipulate to extend the deadline for deposing Plaintiff Timphony Walker to March 30, 2007. This change will not impact the parties ability to meet court

-2-

County Counsel ounty of Santa Clara

imposed deadlines in this case and will not impact the trial date that is presently seven months 1 2 away. 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED: Date: Fobruary 7, 2007 4 5 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 Date: February 7, 2007 8 Lead Deputy County Counsel 9 Attorney for Defendants Officers Wheeler and Ligouri 10 11 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: 12 February 13, 2006 GRANTED 13 Dated: 14 15 Judge Vaughn R Walker 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 67601 wpd 28 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Continue

-3-

C04-00022 VRW (PR)

Deposition Dates

Cascen 3 et 3:04-00 000000 1/2 RWRWD obcourrou enter 16:873 FFileet 1 002/0183/2000 7 PagFea 3 et 3 s